

From Data to Design: An Investigation of Curriculum Development Needs

Aghnia Assifa Nadia^{1*}, Ayu Muliahayati Nashir², Zaitun Qamariah³

^{1, 2, 3} Universitas Islam Negeri Palangka Raya, Indonesia.

E-mail: aghniahzt@gmail.com^{1*}, muliahayati.ayu@gmail.com²,
zaitun.qamariah@iain-palangkaraya.ac.id³

Alamat Kampus: Jl. G. Obos, Menteng, Kec. Jekan Raya, Kota Palangka Raya, Kalimantan Tengah.

*Korespondensi Penulis: aghniahzt@gmail.com

Abstract. *This study highlights the importance of needs analysis in developing learner-centered curricula. Using a library research approach, it explores how investigative techniques—such as interviews, surveys, and classroom observations—can effectively uncover both explicit and implicit student needs. The analysis reveals that triangulating these techniques provides a more holistic and valid understanding of learners. Teachers are encouraged to take on the role of "curriculum detectives" who not only implement but also actively investigate and adapt the curriculum based on data and empathy toward student experiences. The study concludes that systematic and continuous needs analysis leads to dynamic, responsive, and inclusive curriculum design, fostering meaningful and transformation learning experiences.*

Keywords: *Curriculum Development, Investigative Techniques, Curriculum Detective*

1. INTRODCUTION

Curriculum development is no longer restricted to designing learning materials based on fixed objectives. In the current dynamic educational landscape, comprehending student needs is crucial for crafting relevant and effective curricula. Traditional approaches often fall short in capturing the complexity of learners' challenges and preferences. In response, educators are encouraged to adopt investigative techniques—such as interviews, surveys, and classroom observations—to gain deeper insights into both visible and hidden student needs. These techniques empower teachers to act as "curriculum detectives," who not only implement instructional plans but also interpret data to revise and adapt the curriculum accordingly. This shift toward evidence-informed, empathetic, and flexible curriculum design empowers educators to create learning experiences that are inclusive, motivating, and aligned with students' realities. Given the dynamic nature of the modern educational environment, curricula must be designed to adapt to real-world problems (Stalin & Tan, 2020).

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Curriculum development is a multifaceted endeavor, necessitating a deep understanding of the learners, the context, and the desired outcomes. The ongoing evaluation of learning objectives, processes, and content within a curriculum is critical, regardless of the specific educational setting (Hall, 2013). Rather than simply reviewing a curriculum against fixed benchmarks, a deeper, analytical approach is required to understand why certain standards are achieved, looking at the underlying variables that contribute to the curriculum's current state (Khan et al., 2019). This involves a shift from merely implementing a curriculum to becoming a "curriculum detective," skillfully employing investigative techniques to analyze needs effectively. Curriculum adaptation, which involves making modifications and changes to a curriculum, highlights the importance of educators having the skills to evaluate curriculum resources productively (Nalbantoğlu & Bümen, 2023). The process of curriculum development necessitates the incorporation of diverse perspectives and expertise to create a comprehensive and inclusive educational experience (Ayas & Charles, 2024). This underscores the significance of teachers' roles in curriculum development, positioning them as key contributors who translate learning objectives into outcomes and mediate between the curriculum and students (Rimal, 2018).

2.1 Understanding Student Needs Through Investigative Techniques

In the realm of curriculum design, understanding student needs is paramount, demanding the utilization of investigative techniques to uncover both explicit and implicit requirements (Richards, 2001). A well-crafted curriculum must respond not only to institutional goals but also to the unique characteristics, preferences, and challenges of the learners. To bridge the gap between what is taught and what is truly needed, educators must assume the role of curriculum detectives, employing systematic methods to gather meaningful data.

Three widely recognized techniques in needs analysis—interviews, surveys, and classroom observation—serve as powerful investigative tools to delve into student realities (Datnow et al., 2020). Each method reveals different dimensions of learner needs, contributing to a more holistic understanding.

1) Interviews: Listening Beyond the Surface

Interviews offer deep insight into students' personal learning experiences, motivations, and difficulties. Interviews allow educators to ask follow-up questions and explore issues that may not surface in structured questionnaires (Wiggins et al., 2017). Open-ended responses provide rich qualitative data, making it possible to identify

recurring themes, emotional responses to learning, and learner attitudes. For instance, students may express anxiety about speaking in English or dissatisfaction with traditional grammar-heavy instruction—feedback that would otherwise remain hidden.

2) Surveys: Quantifying the Landscape

Surveys are efficient tools for collecting data from large groups of students in a standardized format. With both closed- and open-ended questions, surveys help quantify learner preferences, frequency of language use, perceived skill gaps, and motivational factors. Surveys are particularly effective for mapping trends and identifying general patterns (Reyes-Lorilla, 2021). For example, if a significant number of students indicate low confidence in speaking skills and a preference for more interactive learning, this signals a need for communicative teaching approaches and curriculum revisions.

3) Observations: Seeing What's Unsaid

Observation offers an authentic window into classroom dynamics. Through this technique, teachers can witness how students behave during lessons—who participates, who struggles silently, and how the class responds to different teaching styles. As Miles and Huberman (1994) point out, observation allows educators to capture real-time, non-verbal indicators of engagement and comprehension (Meschede et al., 2017). It validates or challenges data gathered through interviews and surveys, providing a contextualized picture of the learning environment.

2.2 Triangulating the Techniques

Using these techniques in combination strengthens the reliability of the data. This triangulation approach ensures that insights derived from one source can be cross-checked against others (Boeck et al., 2018). For instance, a student may report feeling confident in a survey, but classroom observation might reveal hesitation and avoidance behaviors. Similarly, while interviews may uncover individual struggles, surveys can confirm whether these are widespread or isolated.

A curriculum detective integrates all three techniques, not as isolated activities, but as interrelated strategies for uncovering needs that are not always visible at first glance (Byrne, 2020). When these data sources are analyzed together, the resulting curriculum becomes not only evidence-based but also empathetic and learner-centered.

2.3 Building Empathetic and Responsive Curriculum Design

The core objective of analyzing student needs through investigative techniques is to design a curriculum that not only aligns with learning outcomes but also resonates with the actual experiences and expectations of the learners (Afroogh et al., 2021). When teachers and curriculum developers act as detectives—carefully observing, asking, and interpreting—they begin to shape a curriculum that is empathetic, responsive, and student-centered.

1) Empathy as a Design Principle

Empathy, in the context of curriculum design, involves understanding the learner's perspective and creating content that is relevant, accessible, and meaningful (Sosa, 2019). The qualitative richness gathered from interviews allows educators to grasp emotional and cognitive challenges that students face. These insights help create a learning environment that prioritizes psychological safety, fosters motivation, and acknowledges learners as individuals rather than test scores.

For example, if interviews reveal that students are overwhelmed by academic English but enjoy visual storytelling, a responsive curriculum might integrate graphic novels or visual media to scaffold language skills in a more engaging way. This approach validates student identity and promotes deeper learning.

2) Responsiveness to Evolving Needs

Needs analysis is not a one-time event—it should be cyclical and responsive. Through regular surveys and feedback, teachers can adapt the curriculum in real-time, introducing changes that meet evolving demands. This might include integrating current topics of interest, adjusting task difficulty, or incorporating technology tools aligned with student preferences.

Responsiveness also means being attuned to external influences such as cultural shifts, global trends, or changes in educational policy. The flexibility to adapt based on data ensures that the curriculum remains relevant and empowering.

3) Evidence-Informed Decision-Making

Combining data from observation, surveys, and interviews equips educators with a solid foundation for making curriculum decisions. Rather than relying on assumptions or tradition, teachers can justify changes with clear evidence. For instance, introducing more speaking-focused activities is no longer just a preference—it becomes a strategic choice backed by consistent student input and behavioral observation.

This evidence-informed approach also supports advocacy for institutional change. When teachers present data-backed proposals for curriculum reform, they are more likely to gain support from stakeholders such as administrators or policy-makers.

4) Collaborative Curriculum Development

Investigative techniques can also foster greater collaboration between students and teachers. Sharing findings from needs analysis and involving students in co-designing elements of the curriculum promotes ownership and agency. Students are more likely to engage when they see their voices reflected in the curriculum structure and content.

In this way, the teacher is not only a detective but also a facilitator—bridging the gap between learner voice and institutional curriculum design.

2.4 Conclusion: From Data to Transformation

Understanding student needs through investigative techniques transforms curriculum design from a rigid framework into a dynamic, learner-driven process. Interviews uncover emotions and individual stories; surveys provide measurable insights; and observations reveal the unsaid. Together, these methods create a multidimensional picture of who the learners are and what they truly need to thrive.

When teachers commit to becoming curriculum detectives—guided by empathy, reflection, and data—they do more than modify lessons. They help reshape education into an experience that is inclusive, empowering, and transformative.

3. METODE

This study employs a **library research** approach as its primary method. This approach was chosen because the objective of the study is to explore concepts, theories, and established approaches related to **needs analysis** in curriculum development, particularly focusing on how investigative techniques such as interviews, surveys, and classroom observations can be effectively utilized to understand student needs.

In this library-based inquiry, the researcher analyzed a range of academic sources, including textbooks, peer-reviewed journal articles, research reports, and educational policy documents. These sources were critically examined to identify:

- 1) **The definition and scope of needs analysis** in the context of curriculum planning and design.

- 2) **The role and effectiveness of investigative techniques**—interviews, surveys, and observations—in uncovering both explicit and implicit student needs.
- 3) **Principles of student-centered and responsive curriculum design**, informed by data and learner feedback.

The secondary data collected from these sources were analyzed using a **qualitative-descriptive** approach to construct a theoretical framework that supports the concept of the teacher as a "curriculum detective"—an educator who systematically identifies and responds to learners' diverse needs. The analytical process involved thematic categorization, comparison of expert viewpoints, and synthesis of findings relevant to the research focus.

Rather than testing hypotheses through empirical fieldwork, this study aims to provide a **conceptual foundation** for reflective, contextual, and participatory practices in needs analysis within curriculum development. This theoretical exploration contributes to a deeper understanding of how educators can integrate investigative techniques into a holistic approach to curriculum planning.

4. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The library research conducted in this study has revealed several key findings regarding the role of investigative techniques in understanding student needs for curriculum development (Moss & Godinho, 2019). Through the synthesis of various theoretical and empirical sources, the following results were identified:

a. Investigate techniques provide multidimensional insights

Interviews, surveys, and classroom observations each contribute uniquely to the process of needs analysis. Interviews offer in-depth and personalized data, surveys provide a broad and quantifiable overview, and observations uncover behavioral and contextual cues that are not easily captured through self-reporting methods.

b. Triangulation enhances validity

The combination of these three methods allows for data triangulation, which improves the reliability and depth of the findings. Cross-checking data from different sources ensures that curriculum designers are working with well-rounded, credible insights into learner needs.

c. Investigative techniques support learner-centered curriculum design

A curriculum designed based on a comprehensive understanding of learners—gathered through these techniques—tends to be more responsive, relevant, and

effective(Weinhandl et al., 2024). It enables the integration of content, methods, and assessments that align with student preferences, challenges, and goals.

d. Teacher plays a central role as curriculum detective

The findings reaffirm the importance of empowering teachers to take on the role of curriculum investigators. Equipped with the right tools and mindset, teachers can continuously adapt and refine curriculum content based on evolving student needs.

e. Need analysis is a continuous and dynamic process

The literature indicates that understanding student needs should not be limited to initial curriculum planning. Instead, it must be an ongoing effort, regularly informed by updated data from interviews, surveys, and observations.

The evidence gathered supports the argument that teachers who adopt investigative techniques can make informed decisions, which ultimately result in more impactful and equitable educational experiences(Suh et al., 2024).

Needs analysis is critical to curriculum development, providing systematic collection and analysis of information to define and validate curriculum purposes that address students' language learning needs within specific institutional contexts (Dou et al., 2023). Instead, needs assessment should be viewed as an iterative cycle of problem identification, collaborative negotiation, and adaptive resolution, ensuring curricula remain responsive and relevant. When educators perform a needs analysis, they learn about their students' specific requirements and expectations, which serves as the cornerstone for designing instructional strategies and learning materials that cater to diverse learner needs (Dewi & Amariah, 2023).

Furthermore, internal motivations, such as reviews of learner performance evaluations, can prompt the development of a curriculum (Schneiderhan et al., 2019). In curriculum creation, embracing contributions from educators, subject matter experts, and community members leads to a richer and more inclusive educational environment (Ayas & Charles, 2024). The success of curriculum innovation relies on educators embracing new value priorities like humanism, diversity support, and affective development, alongside understandings of active learning roles.

These results support the idea that integrating investigative techniques into the curriculum development process not only enriches the quality of educational design but also strengthens the connection between teaching practices and actual learner experiences. Strategic planning should incorporate environmental scanning, rigorous quality assurance, and methods that create synergy among different parts of the curriculum . The process of

needs analysis should be systematic and ongoing, gathering information about students' needs and preferences and then making course decisions based on that information (Jamoom, 2021). Curriculum development can be seen as a holistic endeavor with a 360-degree view of all its components.

5. CONCLUSION

Understanding student needs is a foundational step in designing effective, relevant, and empathetic curricula. This study highlights how educators, when positioned as curriculum detectives, can employ investigative techniques—such as interviews, surveys, and classroom observations—to uncover both explicit and hidden learner needs. These techniques, when triangulated, offer a comprehensive view of the learner experience and provide valuable insights for curriculum development. Through a library research approach, this study synthesizes theoretical perspectives and empirical findings from existing literature to build a strong conceptual framework for needs analysis. The analysis confirms that employing investigative tools not only enhances curriculum relevance but also promotes learner-centeredness, responsiveness, and inclusivity. Ultimately, a curriculum shaped by systematic needs analysis is more than a static document; it is a dynamic, evolving guide that reflects the realities, goals, and voices of learners. By embedding these techniques into curriculum design, educators can foster more meaningful, motivating, and equitable learning environments.

REFERENCES

- Boeck, E., Jacxsens, L., Vanoverberghe, P., & Vlerick, P. (2019). Method triangulation to assess different aspects of food safety culture in food service operations. *Food Research International*, 116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2018.09.053>
- Byrne, J. P. (2022). Perceiving the social unknown: How the hidden curriculum affects the learning of autistic students in higher education. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 59(2). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2020.1850320>
- Datnow, A., Lockton, M., & Weddle, H. (2021). Capacity building to bridge data use and instructional improvement through evidence on student thinking. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2020.100869>
- Dou, A. Q., Chan, S. H., & Win, M. T. (2023). Changing visions in ESP development and teaching: Past, present, and future vistas. In *Frontiers in Psychology* (Vol. 14). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1140659>
- Hall, C. E. A. (2014). Toward a model of curriculum analysis and evaluation - Beka: A case study from Australia. *Nurse Education Today*, 34(3). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2013.04.007>
- Iman Ayas, & Tendai Charles. (2024). *Tech-Integrated Curriculum Development*. Scientific Research Publishing, 11(6).

- Khan, R. A., Spruijt, A., Mahboob, U., & van Merriënboer, J. J. G. (2019). Determining “curriculum viability” through standards and inhibitors of curriculum quality: a scoping review. *BMC Medical Education*, 19(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-019-1759-8>
- Meschede, N., Fiebranz, A., Möller, K., & Steffensky, M. (2017). Teachers’ professional vision, pedagogical content knowledge and beliefs: On its relation and differences between pre-service and in-service teachers. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2017.04.010>
- Moss, J., Godinho, S., & Chao, E. (2019). Enacting the Australian curriculum: Primary and secondary teachers’ approaches to integrating the curriculum. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 44(3). <https://doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2018v44n3.2>
- Nalbantoğlu, Ü. Y., & Bümen, N. T. (2024). Changes in the curriculum adaptation skills of teachers as a result of professional development support: A Turkish case study. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2023.104386>
- Nazwa Maulani Dewi, & Amariah, Z. Q. (2023). The Function and Role of Needs Analysis in English Learning Curriculum. *Jurnal Ilmiah Multidisiplin*, 2(02). <https://doi.org/10.56127/jukim.v2i02.708>
- Richards, J. C. (2001). Curriculum Development in Language Teaching. In *Curriculum Development in Language Teaching*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511667220>
- Rimal, K. (2018). Teacher: An Important but Less Recognized Actor of School Curriculum Development in Nepal. *Dhaulagiri Journal of Sociology and Anthropology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3126/dsaj.v12i0.22181>
- Roxanne Reyes-Lorilla. (2021). Self-Efficacy of the Learners: On the Road to English Language Learning. *Sociology Study*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.17265/2159-5526/2021.01.004>
- Schneiderhan, J., Guetterman, T. C., & Dobson, M. L. (2019). Curriculum development: A how to primer. *Family Medicine and Community Health*, 7(2). <https://doi.org/10.1136/fmch-2018-000046>
- Sosa, R. (2021). Teaching (with) Empathy and Creativity in Design. <https://doi.org/10.21606/learnxdesign.2019.08006>
- Suh, J. K., Hand, B., Ercan-Dursun, J., Sahin, E., & Fulmer, G. (2024). Exploring the Complexity of Adaptive Teaching Expertise within Knowledge Generation Environments. *Education Sciences*, 14(4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14040415>
- Weinhandl, R., Mayerhofer, M., Houghton, T., Lavicza, Z., Kleinfürchner, L. M., Anđić, B., Eichmair, M., & Hohenwarter, M. (2024). Enhancing user-centred educational design: Developing personas of mathematics school students. *Heliyon*, 10(2). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e24173>
- Wiggins, B. L., Eddy, S. L., Wener-Fligner, L., Freisem, K., Grunspan, D. Z., Theobald, E. J., Timbrook, J., & Crowe, A. J. (2017). ASPECT: A survey to assess student perspective of engagement in an active-learning classroom. *CBE Life Sciences Education*, 16(2). <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.16-08-0244>