



A Systematic Review of Pragmatic Competence in Second Language Acquisition

Tisya Amalia Putri Sitorus¹, Deasy Yunita Siregar², Dea Nita Aulia³, Nazwa Atalia Zahra⁴, Aghna Ilmi Parinduri⁵, Dini Nur Aini Lubis⁶, Futri Dina Wardiah⁷

¹⁻⁷ Universitas Islam Negeri Sumatera Utara, Indonesia

Email : tisyaamalia27@gmail.com¹, deaaulia16441@gmail.com², nazwatiazhr@gmail.com³,
aghnailmiparinduri@gmail.com⁴, dininurainilubis@gmail.com⁵, futridinawardiah@gmail.com⁶

Jl. William Iskandar Ps. V, Medan Estate, Kec. Percut Sei Tuan, Kabupaten Deli Serdang, Sumatera Utara

Korespondensi penulis : tisyaamalia27@gmail.com

Abstract. *This article presents a systematic review of pragmatic competence in second language acquisition (SLA), emphasizing its critical role in effective communication and intercultural understanding. Pragmatic competence encompasses the ability to understand and use language appropriately in diverse social and cultural contexts, including mastering speech acts, politeness strategies, conversational implicatures, and intercultural pragmatics. Despite its importance, pragmatic competence often receives less attention in language instruction compared to grammar and vocabulary. The review highlights the effectiveness of explicit and implicit teaching methods, authentic interaction, and cultural immersion in developing pragmatic skills. It also underscores the role of technology, such as digital platforms and simulations, in enhancing learners' ability to navigate real-life communication scenarios.*

The findings reveal significant challenges, including cultural variability in pragmatic norms, difficulties in standardizing assessments, and the influence of learners' individual differences. The study calls for innovative teaching and evaluation methods, focusing on personalized approaches and the integration of pragmatic learning with emotional intelligence. By synthesizing current research and practices, this article provides valuable insights for educators, curriculum developers, and researchers, advocating for adaptive, culturally informed, and technology-supported strategies to enhance pragmatic competence in SLA.

Keywords: *Pragmatic competence, second language acquisition, intercultural communication, speech acts, politeness strategies.*

INTRODUCTION

Pragmatic competence, the ability to understand and use language appropriately in social and cultural contexts, is a cornerstone of effective communication. It encompasses skills such as understanding indirect meanings, adhering to conversational norms, and employing suitable speech acts in varied situations. For second language learners, the development of pragmatic competence is essential not only for conveying intended messages but also for fostering meaningful interactions and avoiding miscommunications. Despite its critical role, pragmatic competence often receives less attention in language teaching and research compared to linguistic elements like grammar, syntax, and vocabulary.

In second language acquisition (SLA), fostering pragmatic competence poses unique challenges. Learners must navigate the complexities of sociocultural norms, which often differ significantly between their native and target languages. Moreover, the implicit nature of many

pragmatic rules makes them difficult to teach and assess explicitly. Addressing these challenges requires a nuanced understanding of how pragmatic skills are acquired, influenced by factors such as input, interaction, and cultural exposure.

This article presents a systematic review of pragmatic competence in SLA, aiming to synthesize the current body of research and identify key trends, challenges, and opportunities. It examines a range of topics, including the acquisition of speech acts, politeness strategies, conversational implicatures, and intercultural pragmatics. Additionally, the review considers the methodologies used to assess pragmatic competence and the effectiveness of instructional interventions in diverse educational settings. By offering a comprehensive analysis, this review seeks to inform language educators, curriculum developers, and researchers about the best practices and future directions for promoting pragmatic competence in second language learners.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Pragmatic competence, defined as the ability to use language appropriately in diverse social and cultural contexts, is widely recognized as an essential element of effective communication. This skill encompasses the ability to interpret and produce language that aligns with the norms and expectations of specific contexts, including understanding indirect meanings, applying politeness strategies, and adhering to conversational norms. In the realm of second language acquisition (SLA), pragmatic competence is critical for ensuring meaningful interactions, avoiding miscommunication, and fostering intercultural understanding. However, pragmatic competence often receives less emphasis compared to traditional linguistic components such as grammar, syntax, and vocabulary. Over the years, extensive research has delved into the different facets of pragmatic competence, including speech acts, politeness strategies, conversational implicatures, and intercultural pragmatics, providing valuable insights into its development and instruction.

1. Speech Acts in SLA

Speech acts, such as requests, apologies, refusals, and expressions of gratitude, form a fundamental aspect of pragmatic competence. They are central to interpersonal communication as they convey intent and establish social relationships. Blum-Kulka and Olshtain (1984), through their influential Cross-Cultural Speech Act Realization Project (CCSARP), revealed significant cross-cultural variations in how these acts are performed. For instance, the structure and level of directness in requests vary widely between languages and cultures. Miscommunication often arises when learners transfer pragmatic norms from their first

language (L1) to the second language (L2), leading to culturally inappropriate expressions. Kasper and Rose (2002) further emphasized that explicit instruction in speech acts can significantly improve learners' pragmatic performance, as learners become more aware of the linguistic and cultural norms of the target language.

Recent studies have explored the role of authentic input and interaction in the acquisition of speech acts. Taguchi (2011) highlighted the importance of providing learners with opportunities to practice speech acts in realistic contexts, as exposure to authentic language use can enhance their ability to understand and produce pragmatically appropriate utterances. Furthermore, research has shown that the proficiency level of learners plays a critical role, with advanced learners often demonstrating greater flexibility and accuracy in their use of speech acts compared to beginners.

2. Politeness Strategies

Brown and Levinson's (1987) politeness theory provides a foundational framework for understanding how individuals manage face-threatening acts in communication. Politeness strategies, such as indirectness, mitigation, and deference, are culturally bound and play a crucial role in maintaining social harmony. In SLA, learners often struggle to adopt appropriate politeness strategies due to differences between the politeness norms of their L1 and L2. For instance, learners from cultures that prioritize direct communication may inadvertently come across as rude or overly blunt when speaking in languages that value indirectness.

Research by Taguchi (2011) demonstrated that learners with greater exposure to the target culture were more adept at employing appropriate politeness strategies. This finding underscores the role of cultural immersion in developing pragmatic competence. Studies have also shown that politeness strategies are influenced by factors such as the speaker's relationship with the interlocutor, the social context, and the level of imposition of the speech act. For example, learners are more likely to use formal politeness strategies when addressing authority figures or in situations involving significant social distance.

3. Conversational Implicatures

Conversational implicatures, as described by Grice (1975), refer to meanings that are implied rather than explicitly stated. These inferences rely on shared knowledge, contextual cues, and the cooperative principle. Understanding implicatures poses a significant challenge for second language learners, as it requires not only linguistic proficiency but also a deep understanding of the target culture's conversational norms. Taguchi (2007) noted that learners often misinterpret implicatures due to limited exposure to authentic conversational contexts, which can lead to breakdowns in communication.

Pedagogical approaches to teaching implicatures have emphasized the importance of providing learners with diverse opportunities to engage with implicature-rich interactions. Role-plays, simulations, and exposure to authentic materials, such as films and podcasts, have been identified as effective methods for enhancing learners' ability to interpret and produce conversational implicatures. Additionally, studies have highlighted the role of explicit instruction in raising learners' awareness of implicature conventions, enabling them to navigate nuanced interactions more effectively.

4. Intercultural Pragmatics

Intercultural pragmatics explores how individuals from different cultural backgrounds negotiate meaning and manage communication. House (2003) emphasized that intercultural competence is essential for successful communication in today's globalized world, where interactions often involve speakers with diverse linguistic and cultural backgrounds. Research has shown that second language learners frequently encounter challenges in understanding and adapting to the pragmatic norms of the target culture, which can lead to misunderstandings and misinterpretations.

Bardovi-Harlig and Dörnyei (1998) found that explicit instruction in intercultural pragmatics could help learners navigate complex social interactions and foster mutual understanding in multicultural settings. Furthermore, studies have highlighted the importance of developing learners' awareness of cultural differences and their impact on communication. This awareness enables learners to approach intercultural interactions with greater sensitivity and adaptability, reducing the likelihood of pragmatic failures.

5. Teaching Pragmatic Competence

The teaching of pragmatic competence in SLA has been the focus of extensive research, with studies emphasizing the importance of integrating pragmatic instruction into language curricula. Kasper and Rose (2002) argued that both explicit and implicit teaching methods can be effective, depending on learners' needs and proficiency levels. Explicit instruction involves teaching pragmatic norms directly, while implicit methods focus on exposing learners to authentic language use and allowing them to infer pragmatic rules independently.

Cohen (2008) identified role-plays, simulations, and exposure to authentic materials as highly effective tools for teaching pragmatic skills. These methods enable learners to practice using language in contextually appropriate ways, enhancing their ability to navigate real-life interactions. Taguchi (2015) further emphasized the importance of technology-enhanced learning in providing learners with access to diverse pragmatic inputs and interactive practice opportunities.

6. Challenges and Future Directions

Despite significant progress in understanding and teaching pragmatic competence, challenges remain. Rose and Kasper (2001) noted that pragmatic norms are highly context-dependent, making it difficult to develop standardized assessments. Additionally, learners' individual differences, such as motivation, cultural background, and prior experience, can influence their pragmatic development. Future research should focus on addressing these challenges by exploring innovative assessment methods, incorporating technology into pragmatic instruction, and examining the impact of individual learner variables.

METHOD

This article employs a systematic review methodology to investigate pragmatic competence in second language acquisition (SLA). The review process involved several key steps to ensure a comprehensive and rigorous analysis of the relevant literature:

1. **Data Collection:** Academic articles were sourced from leading journals in the fields of language acquisition, pragmatics, and language pedagogy. Major databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar were utilized to gather a broad and diverse range of studies.
2. **Selection Criteria:** Articles included in the review met specific criteria:
 - Published within the last 20 years to ensure the inclusion of contemporary findings.
 - Focused on core aspects of pragmatic competence, including speech acts, politeness strategies, conversational implicatures, and intercultural pragmatics.
 - Centered on second language learners and the educational contexts relevant to their pragmatic development.
3. **Data Analysis:** Selected articles were analyzed to identify trends, challenges, and key findings. The review adopted a qualitative approach to synthesize information about instructional methodologies, effectiveness of interventions, and the process of pragmatic competence development.
4. **Categorization of Studies:** The reviewed studies were organized into thematic categories, addressing specific areas of pragmatic competence, such as speech act acquisition, the role of cultural immersion, and the impact of instructional techniques.

Through this systematic approach, the article aims to provide a thorough understanding of how pragmatic competence develops in second language learners, the challenges faced in its acquisition, and the most effective strategies for fostering this critical communicative skill.

FINDING

The systematic review delved extensively into the nuances of pragmatic competence within SLA, uncovering key trends and challenges that significantly influence learners' communicative effectiveness. Below is a detailed exploration:

1. Speech Acts

Speech acts, such as making requests, apologizing, refusing, or expressing gratitude, are pivotal for establishing and maintaining social relationships. The findings highlighted:

- **Intercultural Variability:** Cross-cultural differences in speech act realization often lead to pragmatic transfer issues, where learners apply L1 norms inappropriately in L2 contexts. For example, the level of directness in requests varies widely. Western languages might favor politeness markers like "Could you...?" whereas other languages might use imperative forms deemed inappropriate in English.
- **Proficiency-Dependent Mastery:** Higher proficiency learners demonstrate greater control over speech act variations, employing nuanced language to suit situational contexts. For instance, advanced learners adjust the tone and complexity of apologies depending on the gravity of the offense and the relationship with the interlocutor.
- **Instructional Approaches:** Both explicit and implicit teaching methods play complementary roles. Explicit instruction in linguistic formulas and contextual norms builds a strong foundation, while implicit approaches (e.g., through immersion) reinforce these skills by exposing learners to authentic conversational dynamics.

2. Politeness Strategies

The review underscored the complexity of politeness strategies, which are critical for maintaining social harmony. Key insights include:

- **Cultural Relativity:** Politeness is culturally constructed, with norms varying significantly between societies. Learners from high-context cultures, where indirectness is prioritized, often struggle with the more direct politeness strategies characteristic of low-context cultures.
- **Dynamic Influences:** Politeness choices are not static; they depend on situational factors such as power dynamics, social distance, and urgency. For example, when addressing authority figures, learners tend to employ deferential politeness, even in contexts where such formality is unnecessary in the target culture.
- **Importance of Real-World Exposure:** Learners immersed in the target culture adapt more effectively, often unconsciously internalizing subtle contextual cues. This points

to the indispensable role of cultural immersion programs and interactive technologies in accelerating pragmatic competence.

3. Conversational Implicatures

Conversational implicatures represent one of the most intricate components of pragmatic competence, as they require an understanding of unstated meanings:

- **Cognitive Demands:** Deciphering implicatures involves higher-order thinking, as learners must reconcile linguistic input with contextual expectations. For instance, interpreting sarcastic or humorous remarks often eludes learners unfamiliar with the cultural nuances underlying such speech.
- **Training Opportunities:** Research highlights the effectiveness of targeted training, such as analyzing conversations, participating in simulations, and discussing the pragmatic functions of implicature-rich exchanges. Such training helps learners develop the ability to navigate layered meanings and subtext.
- **Gradual Skill Development:** Learners with greater cultural exposure and linguistic proficiency demonstrate a more sophisticated grasp of implicatures. Advanced learners can identify subtleties such as irony, understatement, or indirect criticisms, which are critical for navigating professional and social interactions.

4. Intercultural Pragmatics

In an increasingly globalized world, intercultural pragmatic competence has emerged as a critical skill for SLA learners:

- **Understanding Pragmatic Failures:** Misunderstandings in intercultural settings often stem from differences in discourse norms, turn-taking behaviors, and nonverbal cues. For instance, silence may signify agreement in one culture but discomfort or disapproval in another.
- **Role of Explicit Awareness:** Teaching learners to recognize and adapt to cultural differences fosters resilience in overcoming pragmatic failures. Activities such as comparative role-playing, cultural storytelling, and case study analyses can bridge this gap.
- **Building Empathy:** Intercultural pragmatics goes beyond skill acquisition; it involves cultivating empathy and flexibility. This can be achieved through immersive experiences, where learners interact with individuals from diverse cultural backgrounds in real or virtual environments.

5. Instructional Effectiveness

Effective teaching methods for pragmatic competence are multifaceted and adaptable to learners' needs:

- **Integration of Pragmatic Skills:** Pragmatic instruction must be interwoven with other language skills to create a holistic curriculum. For instance, teaching grammar and vocabulary through contextualized scenarios enhances both linguistic and pragmatic proficiency.
- **Technology-Enhanced Learning:** Digital platforms, such as interactive apps and virtual simulations, have expanded the scope for pragmatic training. For example, conversational AI can simulate diverse speech situations, enabling learners to experiment with responses and receive instant feedback.
- **Blended Instruction Models:** Combining explicit instruction (e.g., teaching the theory of politeness) with task-based learning (e.g., practicing in real-life scenarios) allows learners to bridge the gap between knowledge and application.

6. Challenges and Future Directions

Despite significant progress, challenges in promoting and assessing pragmatic competence persist:

- **Context-Dependency:** Pragmatic norms are highly variable and influenced by context. This variability complicates the standardization of teaching and assessment tools, as what works in one situation might fail in another.
- **Assessment Innovation:** There is a pressing need for dynamic assessment frameworks that capture learners' real-time ability to use language pragmatically. Technologies like eye-tracking or sentiment analysis in conversational AI could provide insights into learners' pragmatic decision-making processes.
- **Individual Variability:** Differences in learners' cultural backgrounds, personalities, and motivations necessitate more personalized approaches. Tailoring instruction to address these differences could lead to more effective outcomes.
- **Research Gaps:** Future studies should explore the longitudinal effects of pragmatic instruction, the role of implicit learning mechanisms, and the interplay between pragmatic competence and emotional intelligence in SLA.

DISCUSSION

The results of this study highlight the challenges and opportunities in developing pragmatic competence among second language learners (SLA). In this discussion, we analyze the implications of the key findings and provide insights into their contributions to language teaching theory and practice.

1. The Complexity of Speech Acts and Politeness Strategies

The cultural differences found in the use of speech acts and politeness strategies indicate that negative pragmatic transfer often causes intercultural misunderstandings. For example, direct approaches in requests or expressions of gratitude, considered polite in one culture, may be seen as impolite in another. Therefore, learning approaches that emphasize cross-cultural exploration are crucial to helping learners understand the pragmatic norms of the target language.

Moreover, the roles of explicit and implicit instruction should be viewed as complementary. The finding that advanced learners exhibit greater flexibility in using speech acts highlights the need for progressive instruction that facilitates the development of pragmatic skills as language proficiency increases.

2. Intercultural Pragmatics and Challenges in Globalization

The findings on intercultural pragmatics underscore the challenges learners face in navigating interactions in multicultural environments. In an increasingly connected world, the ability to understand and adapt to cross-cultural communication norms has become a critical competence. Experiential learning approaches, such as cultural immersion programs or virtual simulations, can accelerate learners' pragmatic adaptation.

However, challenges remain in integrating intercultural pragmatics into a structured curriculum. This requires developing assessment tools that can capture pragmatic adaptability in real-world contexts.

3. Instructional Effectiveness and Technology in Pragmatic Teaching

This research highlights the importance of technology in supporting pragmatic learning. AI-based applications and digital simulations provide learners with opportunities to practice interactive situations without the risk of real misunderstandings. However, implementing these technologies must be complemented by pedagogical approaches designed to foster reflective awareness about language use in diverse social contexts. Additionally, task-based learning approaches that incorporate pragmatic elements into real-life scenarios may be more effective in bridging the gap between theory and practice.

4. Implications for Future Research and Teaching

Although promising, this study reveals that pragmatics remains one of the most challenging aspects of language to objectively assess. There is a need for the development of dynamic assessment tools capable of capturing learners' pragmatic abilities in real-time, such as conversational analysis using emotion-tracking or eye-tracking technologies.

Furthermore, future research should focus on the influence of individual variables, such as motivation, cultural background, and prior experiences, on the development of pragmatic competence. This would not only enrich theoretical understanding but also assist in designing more personalized and effective learning programs.

CONCLUSION

The article emphasizes the critical role of pragmatic competence in second language acquisition (SLA) for effective communication and intercultural understanding. It highlights key areas such as speech acts, politeness strategies, conversational implicatures, and intercultural pragmatics, demonstrating how these elements are influenced by cultural norms, proficiency levels, and instructional methods. Effective teaching approaches, including explicit instruction, task-based learning, and the use of technology, are essential for fostering learners' ability to navigate diverse linguistic and cultural contexts. The importance of authentic interaction and cultural immersion is repeatedly emphasized as a way to enhance learners' understanding and adaptability in real-world communication.

Despite its importance, teaching pragmatics faces challenges due to the variability of norms across cultures and the difficulty of standardized assessment. The article calls for future research to focus on innovative tools and personalized methods that integrate pragmatic learning with emotional intelligence. Ultimately, it advocates for a comprehensive approach combining adaptive instructional strategies, cultural awareness, and technology to effectively develop pragmatic competence in language learners.

REFERENCE

- Bardovi-Harlig, K., & Dörnyei, Z. (1998). Do language learners recognize pragmatic violations? *TESOL Quarterly*, 32(2), 233–259. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3587583>
- Blum-Kulka, S., & Olshtain, E. (1984). Requests and apologies: A cross-cultural study of speech act realization patterns (CCSARP). *Applied Linguistics*, 5(3), 196–213. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/5.3.196>
- Brown, P., & Levinson, S. C. (1987). *Politeness: Some universals in language usage*. Cambridge University Press.
- Cohen, A. D. (2008). Teaching and assessing L2 pragmatics: What can we expect from learners? *Language Teaching*, 41(2), 213–235. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444807004880>
- Grice, H. P. (1975). Logic and conversation. In P. Cole & J. L. Morgan (Eds.), *Syntax and semantics*, Vol. 3: Speech acts (pp. 41–58). Academic Press.
- House, J. (2003). Teaching and learning pragmatic fluency in a foreign language: The case of English as a lingua franca. In G. Kasper & K. R. Rose (Eds.), *Pragmatic development in a second language* (pp. 133–158). Blackwell Publishing.
- Kasper, G., & Rose, K. R. (2002). *Pragmatic development in a second language*. Blackwell Publishing.
- Murphey, T. (1992). *Music and song*. Oxford University Press.
- Rose, K. R., & Kasper, G. (2001). *Pragmatics in language teaching*. Cambridge Applied Linguistics Series. Cambridge University Press.
- Taguchi, N. (2007). Development of speed and accuracy in pragmatic comprehension in English as a foreign language. *TESOL Quarterly*, 41(2), 313–338. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1545-7249.2007.tb00059.x>
- Taguchi, N. (2011). The effect of L2 proficiency and study-abroad experience on pragmatic comprehension. *Language Learning*, 61(3), 904–939. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9922.2011.00633.x>
- Taguchi, N. (2015). Technology-enhanced materials for pragmatic development. In C. A. Chapelle (Ed.), *The encyclopedia of applied linguistics* (pp. 1–8). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781405198431>